

Study of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients

Ketaki Vyankatesh Kulkarni*, Niranjan Pathak**, Jatin Talele***

* Assistant Professor, Dept. Of Microbiology, ** Assistant Professor, Dept. Of General Medicine, *** PG Student, Dept. Of General Medicine, MIMER Medical College, Talegaon-Dabhade, Pune.410507.India.

Abstract: Background & Objectives: Diabetic subjects, especially women, show high prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB). The risk of urinary tract infection (UTI) is higher in diabetics compared to non-diabetics. The aetiology and the antibiotic resistance of uropathogens have been changing over the past years. Hence the present study was undertaken to evaluate the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and to find out the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of bacterial isolates. Methods: Two hundred type 2 diabetics comprising males and females (aged between 30 - 80 years) who attended Maharashtra Institute of Medical Education & Research & BSTR hospital, Pune India were included in the study. Mid-stream urines were collected from patients aseptically into sterile wide mouth container and examined microscopically for leucocyturia, and were cultured using standard techniques on blood agar, Mac Conkey agar and incubated at 37°C aerobically for 24 h. Isolates were tested against separate antibiotics for gram negative and gram positive organisms by the disc diffusion method. Results: Significant bacteriuria was observed in 52% of urine samples (50 females and 54 males). Bacteria isolated included *Escherichia coli* (31%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (13%), *Citrobacter koseri* (13%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (10%), *Proteus vulgaris* (3%), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (2%) among GNB & *Staphylococcus aureus* (25%) among GPCs. *E.coli* showed maximum sensitivity to Nitrofurantoin, while few *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* isolates were sensitive to Piperacillin-tazobactam. *Acinetobacter baumannii* showed high resistance to all antibiotics. Among all antibiotics, majority of isolates were resistant to Ampicillin-sulbactam. 50% *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates showed sensitivity to Nitrofurantoin. Leucocyturia was observed in 80% patients who showed ASB. Conclusion: Finding of leucocyturia can be a clue to the screening for ASB in diabetic patients, since ASB has been found to be a risk factor for developing symptomatic urinary tract infection in these patients. [Ketaki K NJIRM 2017; 8(3):12-16]

Key Words: Asymptomatic Bacteriuria, *Escherichia coli*, Leucocyturia, Type 2 diabetes mellitus

Author for correspondence: Ketaki Vyankatesh Kulkarni, Teaching Staff Quarters, No: 1, MIMER Medical College Campus, Talegaon, Dabhade, Pune-410507, India. M: 9145267750 / 9730490292 E-Mail: drketaki205@gmail.com

Introduction: The term bacteriuria means the presence of bacteria in urine and it is taken to be significant if 10^5 organisms per millilitre of a fresh "clean catch" urine specimen are present in any patient¹. Asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) major risk factor for the development of UTI in pregnancy due to physiological changes².

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a worldwide health problem with an expected prevalence of 593 million by 2035³. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in women has been reported as in school children (6.7%), during pregnancy (6% asymptomatic) and 10-12% among elderly women⁴. Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the most common infection among patients with DM & is responsible for considerable morbidity, particularly if it is unrecognized or untreated^{5,6}. Risk factors for UTI among patients with & without DM have been identified eg. Obesity, female sex & prostate syndrome in men^{7,8}. Furthermore glycosuria, low immunity & bladder dysfunction which are associated with DM, are considered particular risk factors for UTI^{9,10}. Development of asymptomatic UTI in diabetic women has been reported to be much more common than in nondiabetic women, men &

from diabetic outpatients with urinary tract infections^{10,11}. Most bacterial aetiological agents in asymptomatic bacteriuria have been reported to include *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter* sp., *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Enterococcus fecalis*, Coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* and *Streptococcus pyogenes*^{1,2,12}. *Escherichia coli* is most commonly isolated organism in both diabetic & nondiabetic patients^{13,14}. Untreated ASB predisposes the individual to recurrent UTI which can cause renal disease. Patients with diabetes mellitus have been reported to have increased rates of UTI infections¹⁵.

Diagnosis of ASB is the most important step in managing ASB & the most important point for diagnosis is microbiological tests. In this context, the number of specimens for culture is crucial. In guidelines, ASB in men is defined as the isolation of $> = 10^5$ cfu / ml of bacteria in a single clean catch voided urine specimen. On the other hand in women, two consecutive urine specimen is needed for accurate diagnosis¹⁶. However in present study, we could collect a single urine specimen from men & women both.

Therefore aim of present study was to study prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria alongwith spectrum of uropathogens in Type 2 Diabetes mellitus patients & to determine profile of antibiotic resistance amongst these uropathogens.

Methods: A prospective study was conducted at MIMER medical college during the period of January 2016 to October 2016 after obtaining approval from institutional ethical committee. Two hundred consecutive samples from asymptomatic male & female patients with type 2 diabetes (aged between 30 - 80 years), who attended Maharashtra Institute of Medical Education & Research & BSTR hospital, Pune, India were included in the study. Patients with overt diabetic nephropathy or nephropathy from other causes & patients with symptoms of UTI like frequency, dysuria, urgency etc. were excluded. Also, patients on antimicrobials, NSAIDs & immunosuppressors in last 14 days of study were excluded by the study.

Early morning mid-stream urine samples were collected from patients aseptically into sterile wide mouth container and delivered to microbiology laboratory immediately which were further examined microscopically. Samples were then inoculated on, MacConkey agar using standard techniques (calibrated loop). For calculating Colony forming units (CFUs), blood agar was inoculated using calibrated loop by T method. The plates were incubated at 37°C aerobically for 24 h. Colony forming units were counted.

Leukocyturia was also quantified. For defining Leucocyturia, criteria used was urine samples showing > 10 WBCs /HPF microscopically. Asymptomatic bacteriuria was defined as the presence of 1,00,000 or more colony forming units per ml (CFU/ml) of urine¹⁷. Isolates were identified using standard biochemical techniques. ABST of isolates was carried out on Muller Hinton Agar using commercially available antibiotic discs (Hi-media, Mumbai) by Kirby Bauer Disk diffusion Technique & interpreted according to CLSI guidelines¹⁸.

Results: Age & Sex Distribution Of Patients & ASB: Out of 200 urine samples included in study, 104 samples were from male pts and 96 were from female patients.

Table 1: Age and sex distribution of diabetics with ASB.

Age (Years)	Males (%)	Females (%)
30-39	6 (11.11)	9(18)
40-49	7(12.96)	8(16)
50-59	16(29.62)	10(20)
60-69	12(22.22)	12(24)
Above 70	13(24.07)	11(22)
Total	54 (51.92)	50(48.07)

ASB was highest in age group 50-59 years among males, while age group 60-69 years showed high occurrence of ASB among females. There was not much difference in overall occurrence of ASB among males (51.92%) than females (48.07%).

Microbial growth was present in 65% (130/200) of total samples. Asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) was present in 52% (104/200) of total samples.

Spectrum Of Uropathogens Isolated: We isolated different organisms including gram negative bacilli & gram positive cocci. Of the total 104 samples which showed ASB, number of organisms isolated was 111. Out of 111, 77 (69.36%) were gram negative bacilli, 28 (25.22%) included gram positive cocci and 6 (5.40%) isolates were of *Candida albicans*.

Table 2: Organisms isolated and percentage

Bacterial Isolates	No. (%)
<i>E. coli</i>	34 (31)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	14 (13)
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	14 (13)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	10 (10)
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	3(3)
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	2(2)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	28(25)

E. coli was the most frequently isolated strain, in 31% of patients. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Citrobacter koseri* was isolated in 13% of patients, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 10%, *Proteus vulgaris* in 3%, *Acinetobacter baumannii* in approximately 2% and *Staphylococcus aureus* was isolated in 25% of patients.

Occurrence Of Leucocyturia: Leucocyturia of more than 10 cells per field was present in 80% (83/104) of diabetic patients with positive culture.

Abst Pattern Of Isolates: Results showed that *E.coli* showed maximum sensitivity to Nitrofurantoin, while

few *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* isolates were sensitive to Piperacillin-tazobactam. Out of 10 *Pseudomonas* isolates, 3 were sensitive to Tobramycin & 2 were sensitive to Meropenem.

Acinetobacter baumannii showed high resistance to almost all antibiotics. Among all antibiotics, majority of isolates were resistant to Ampicillin-sulbactam.

Majority isolates of *C.koseri* were sensitive to Amikacin & Cefoxitin. Not a single isolate of *C.koseri* showed sensitivity to Piperacillin.

All tested *P.vulgaris* isolates showed resistance to Cefotaxime, Cefoxitin, Amoxiclav & Piperacillin.

For gram positive cocci, we tested 12 different antibiotics. Among gram positive cocci, all were *Staphylococcus aureus*. Among these, 50% (14/28) showed sensitivity to Nitrofurantoin & 57.14% (16/28) showed sensitivity to Linezolid.

Discussion: The main finding of the present study was that the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria among diabetic patients was 52%. This result is concurrent with that of study conducted in Cameroon, which also showed high prevalence (35.2 – 58.3 %) of bacteriuria^{19,20}. On the contrary, the prevalence of ASB in this study is higher than that of some studies which recorded prevalence of 5.3 - 26%²¹⁻²⁴ & 10.4%²⁵. Few studies have recorded prevalence of 36.2 % in diabetics²⁶. Consequently, the issue of prevalence of ASB remains debatable. This inconsistency has been attributed to variations in sample size, geographical location, culture or screening method²⁷.

Also high prevalence of bacteriuria in this setting may be explained by poor glycemic control in our diabetic patients. Poor control of DM increases the risk of UTI by 24%²⁸. Generally, compared with non-diabetic patients, diabetic patients have a higher incidence of UTI and asymptomatic bacteriuria^{29,30}.

Diabetic patients are at increased risk of infection in general and, in particular, to UTI³¹. The susceptibility of diabetic patients to UTI could be explained by diminished neutrophil response, lower urinary cytokines, and leukocyte concentrations, which might facilitate the adhesion of microorganisms to uroepithelial cells^{29,32,33}.

The current study showed that *E. coli* was the most common organism isolated from asymptomatic diabetic patients which is similar to other studies.^{13,14,15}. 2nd most common organism isolated in present study was *Staphylococcus aureus*. The predominance of bacteria other than *E. coli* in the urinary tract is increasingly being reported. Recent study in Nigeria has also reported *Staphylococcus aureus* to be the most common uropathogen in diabetics⁴². The high prevalence of *Staphylococcus sp* in ASB may be due to the fact that these organisms are mostly normal skin flora and can be introduced to the urinary tract during sexual intercourse³⁶.

Most of the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in our study were resistant to cotrimoxazole & norfloxacin which are commonly used antimicrobials for treating UTIs. High resistance to cotrimoxazole may be due its frequent use in our study area to treat UTIs and other infectious diseases. *E.coli* was resistant mainly to ampicillin, ampicillin-sulbactam, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid norfloxacin. This is somewhat in line with reports from Ethiopia, Libya, and Kenya^{25,13,34}. Furthermore, this is in agreement with a recent report from Ethiopia, where over 60% of the isolated urinary *E. coli* was resistant to ampicillin²⁵.

Klebsiella pneumoniae and *Citrobacter koseri* were the second most commonly isolated gram negative organisms, which is in agreement with a recent report from Nepal which also reported *K. pneumoniae* as second most common organism isolated³⁵.

Though *Candida sp* was isolated in less number (5.40 %) of patients in our study, higher carriage rate of *Candida sp* has also been reported in other studies³⁷.

In the present study, gram negative bacteria showed high resistance to gentamicin (77.92%) compared to gram positive cocci (57.14%). This difference in resistance may be due to the over-expression of efflux pumps in gram negative bacteria³⁸. Nitrofurantoin resistance is usually uncommon; the moderate resistance observed in this study may be due to the development of cross resistance. Gram negative bacteria showed moderate to high resistance to both the second and third generation cephalosporins. This is commensurate to previous reports^{22,39}.

In our study, leucocyturia was present in 80% of patients who had asymptomatic bacteriuria. This is in concordance with previous study¹⁷. This high

percentage of leucocyturia can be a clue to screen diabetic patients for asymptomatic bacteriuria.

Conclusion: The present study showed a high prevalence of ASB of 52 % alongwith high percentage (80%)of leucocyturia in diabetes mellitus patients. Finding of leucocyturia can be a clue to the screening for ASB in diabetic patients, since ASB has been found to be a risk factor for developing symptomatic urinary tract infection in these patients. In addition, it reiterates the clinical significance of *E.coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in UTI.

Acknowledgement: Our sincere gratitude goes to the management and staff of our hospital where samples were collected and processed. We also acknowledge the material and technical support of the Department of Microbiology, where the study was conducted. The technical expertise of the technicians, Mrs. Suneeta & Mrs. Shubhangi, cannot be overemphasized.

Reference:

1. Alebiosu CO, Osinupebi OA, Olajubu FA (2003). Significant asymptomatic bacteriuria among Nigeria type 2 diabetics. *J. Nat. Med. Assoc.* 95(5): 344-348.
2. Assel MT, Al-Meer FM, Al-Kuwari MG, Ismail MF (2009). Prevalence and predictor of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending Primary health care in Qatar Middle East. *J. Fam. Med.*
3. Guariguata L, Whiting DR, Hambleton I, Beagley J, Linnenkamp U, Shaw JE. Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2013 and projections for 2035. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2014;103(2):137–49.
4. Meiland R, Geerlings SE, Stolk RP, Netten PM, Schechberfor PM, Hoepelman AI (2006). Asymptomatic bacteriuria in women with diabetes mellitus: effect on renal function after 6 years of follow-up. *Archives Int. Med.* 166(20): 2222-2227.
5. Patterson JE, Andriole VT. Bacterial urinary tract infections in diabetes. *Infect Dis Clin North Am.* 1997;11(3):735–50.
6. Schneeberger C, Kazemier BM, Geerlings SE. Asymptomatic bacteriuria and urinary tract infections in special patient groups: women with diabetes mellitus and pregnant women. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2014; 27(1):108–14.
7. Al-Rubeaan KA, Moharram O, Al-Naqeb D, Hassan A, Rafiullah MR. Prevalence of urinary tract infection and risk factors among Saudi patients with diabetes. *World J Urol.* 2013;31(3):573–8.
8. Ribera MC, Pascual R, Orozco D, Pérez Barba C, Pedrera V, Gil V. Incidence and risk factors associated with urinary tract infection in diabetic patients with and without asymptomatic bacteriuria. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2006;25(6):389–93.
9. Funfstuck R, Nicolle LE, Hanefeld M, Naber KG. Urinary tract infection in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Clin Nephrol.* 2012;77:40–8.
10. Nicolle LE. Urinary tract infection in diabetes. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2005;18:49–53.
11. Stapleton A (2002). Urinary tract infections in patients with diabetes. *Am. J. Med.* 113(1): 805-845.
12. Olaitan JO (2006). Asymptomatic bacteriuria in female student's population of a Nigeria University. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2(2): 4-9.
13. Ghenghesh KS, Elkateb E, Berbash N, Abdel Nada R, Ahmed SF, Rahouma A, et al. Uropathogens from diabetic patients in Libya: virulence factors and phylogenetic groups of *Escherichia coli* isolates. *J Med Microbiol.* 2009;58(8):1006–14.
14. Hamdan HZ, Ziad AH, Ali SK, Adam I. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections and antibiotics sensitivity among pregnant women at Khartoum North Hospital. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob.* 2011;18(10):2.
15. Lakhani Singh, Ramanesh Murthy, Hemlata Singh, Prashant Nigam. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria In Patients With Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus. *NJIRM* 2013; 4(6) : 1-4.
16. Ertugrul Guclu, Tuba Damar, Oguz Karabay. The number of urine specimens for bacteriological examination in women. *African Health Sciences* 2014; 14 (2):489.
17. Mendoza T, García de los Ríos M, Lafourcade M, Soto C, Durruty P, Alvo M. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in type 2 diabetics women. *Rev Med Chil.* 2002 Sep;130(9):1001-7.
18. Wayne PA. Clinical and Laboratory Standards, Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests. Approved standard. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2014; Document M100-S 24.
19. Akoachere JFT, Suylika Y, Njom HA, Esemu NS. Etiologic profile and antimicrobial susceptibility of community-acquired urinary tract infection in two

- Cameroonian towns. *BMC Research notes* 2012; 5: 219.
20. Yuyun MF, Angwafo III FF, Koulla-Shiro S, Zoung-Kanyi J. Urinary tract infections and genitourinary abnormalities in Cameroonian men. *Trop Med Int Health* 2004; 9 (4): 520-525.
 21. Alebiosu CO, Osinupebi OA, Olajubu FA. Significant asymptomatic bacteriuria among Nigerian type 2 diabetics. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2003; 95: 344-351.
 22. Lyamuya EF, Moyo SJ, Komba EV, Haule M. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and associated risk factors for bacteriuria in diabetic women in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 2011; 5 (6): 683-689.
 23. Odetoyin WB, Aboderin AO, Ikem RT, Kolawole BA, Oyelese AO. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with diabetes mellitus in Ile-Ife, South-West, Nigeria. *East Afr Med J* 2008; 85:18-23.
 24. Wogu MD, Ogbemor NE. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in secondary school students in Benin City. *Afr Res Rev* 2011; 5 (4): 145-151.
 25. Yeshitela B, Gebre-Selassie S, Feleke Y. Asymptomatic bacteriuria and symptomatic urinary tract infections (UTI) in patients with diabetes mellitus in Tikur Anbessa Specialized University Hospital, Addis Ababa. *Ethiopia Med J*. 2012;50(3):239-49.
 26. Ophori EA, Imade P, Johnny EJ. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with diabetes. *J Bacteriol Research* 2010; 2 (2): 14-17.
 27. Assel MT, Al-Meer FM, Al-Kuwari MG, Ismail MF. Prevalence and predictor of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending Primary health care in Qatar Middle East *J Fam Med* 2009; 4:14-17.
 28. Hirji I, Guo Z, Andersson SW, Hammar N, Gomez-Caminero A. Incidence of urinary tract infection among patients with type 2 diabetes in the UK General Practice Research Database (GPRD). *J Diabetes Complications*. 2012;26(6):513-6.
 29. Guillausseau PJ, Farah R, Laloi-Michelin M, Tielmans A, Rymer R, Warnet A. Urinary tract infections and diabetes mellitus. *Rev Prat*. 2003;53(16):1790-6.
 30. Geerlings SE. Urinary tract infections in patients with diabetes mellitus: epidemiology, pathogenesis and treatment. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2008;31 Suppl 1:S54-7.
 31. Muller LM, Gorter KJ, Hak E, Goudzwaard WL, Schellevis FG, Hoepelman AI, et al. Increased risk of common infections in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005;41:281-8.
 32. Valerius NH, Eff C, Hansen NE, Karle H, Nerup J, Soeberg B, et al. Neutrophil and lymphocyte function in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Acta Med Scand*. 1982;211:463-7.
 33. Hoepelman AI, Meiland R, Geerlings SE. Pathogenesis and management of bacterial urinary tract infections in adult patients with diabetes mellitus. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2003;22 Suppl 2:35-43.
 34. Kayima JK, Otieno LS, Twahir A, Njenga E. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among diabetics attending Kenyatta National Hospital. *East Afr Med J*. 1996;73(8):524-6.
 35. Simkhada R. Urinary tract infection and antibiotic sensitivity pattern among diabetics. *Nepal Med Coll J*. 2013;15(1):1-4.
 36. Omoregie R, Erebor JO, Ahonkhai I, Isibor JO, Ogofero H. Observed changes in the prevalence of uropathogens in Benin City, Nigeria. *Nz J Med Lab Science* 2008; 29-31.
 37. Al-Attas SA, Amro SO. Candidal colonization, strain diversity and antifungal susceptibility among adult diabetic patients. *Annals of Saudi Medicine* 2010; 30 (2): 101-108.
 38. Li XZ, Livermore DM, Nikaido H. Role of efflux pump(s) in intrinsic resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* resistance to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and norfloxacin. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1994; 38: 1732-1741
 39. Gangoue PJ, Koulla SS, Ngassam P, Adiogo D, Ndumbe P. Antimicrobial activity against Gram negative bacilli from Yaounde Central Hospital, Cameroon. *African Health Sciences* 2006; 6 (4): 232-235.

Conflict of interest: None
Funding: None
Cite this Article as: Ketaki K, Niranjana P, Jatin T. Study of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients. <i>Natl J Integr Res Med</i> 2017; 8(3):12-16