# An Analysis of osteomyelitis in Diabetic Foot using Amit Jain's Classification of Diabetic foot osteomyelitis

Amit Kumar C Jain<sup>1</sup>, Viswanath S<sup>2</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Aim:** Analyzing osteomyelitis in the foot of the diabetic patients using Amit Jain's classification for diabetic foot osteomyelitis. **Materials and Method:** A retrospective analysis was carried from March 2011 to February 2014 in Department of Surgery of St Johns medical college, Bangalore, India. **Results:** 21 patients with diabetic foot osteomyelitis were included in this study. 95.23% patients had unilateral osteomyelitis. Most common type of diabetic foot osteomyelitis was type 1 osteomyelitis with 57.14% of the patients being affected with this type. 90.48% patients with osteomyelitis had underlying ulcers and they belonged to type 3 diabetic foot complications. 33.33% of the patient had Subtype C and it was the most common subtype seen. Type 3 osteomyelitis was the commonest cause for major amputation. **Conclusion**: Diabetic foot osteomyelitis belonged to type 3 diabetic foot osteomyelitis was the most common type of osteomyelitis seen in this study. This new Amit Jain's classification for diabetic foot osteomyelitis helps in specifying the type of osteomyelitis occurring in clinical practice and also the type of osteomyelitis commonly responsible for the major amputations.

Keywords: Amit Jain's classification, Diabetic foot, Osteomyelitis

<sup>1</sup> MBBS, DNB, FPS - Assistant Professor, <sup>2</sup> MBBS, DNB - Associate Professor

Department of Surgery, St Johns Medical College, Bangalore

Corresponding author mail: dramitkumarcj@yahoo.in

Conflict of interest – None

**INTRODUCTION:** Around 15% of diabetic patients will develop an ulcer in their lifetime<sup>1</sup>. The ulcers are prone for infection that can deteriorate rapidly and involve deeper structures like bone leading to osteomyelitis<sup>2</sup>. The term osteomyelitis was first coined by Nelaton in 1844<sup>3</sup>.

Osteomyelitis accounts for around 10-20% of diabetic foot  $\text{ulcers}^{4, 5, 6}$  and it can be as high as  $60\%^5$ . In spite of the fact that it has a high frequency, treatment of osteomyelitis of the foot continues to be controversial and there are no optimal treatment guidelines yet<sup>6</sup>. Further, there was no specific classification for diabetic foot osteomyelitis for many decades. Many studies done on diabetic foot did not even mention about the type of osteomyelitis that occurred in their study<sup>7, 8, 9</sup>.

Amit Jain's classification for diabetic foot osteomyelitis<sup>10</sup> is the first new specific

classification for osteomyelitis occurring in diabetic foot [Table 1]. This study aims at analyzing the diabetic foot osteomyelitis using this new classification system.

2	1
Types of osteomyelitis	Description
Type 1	Osteomyelitis of fore foot
А	Probe to bone positive but x ray do not show osteomyelitis
В	X ray shows cortical erosions
С	X ray shows completely destroyed bone or joint
D	X ray shows involvement of more than one bone or joint
Type 2	Osteomyelitis of mid foot
А	Probe to bone positive but x ray do not show osteomyelitis
В	X ray shows cortical erosions
С	X ray shows destroyed bone or joint
D	X ray shows involvement of more than one bone or joint
Type 3	Osteomyelitis of hind foot
А	Probe to bone positive but x ray do not show osteomyelitis
В	X ray shows cortical erosions
С	X ray shows destroyed bone or joint
D	X ray shows involvement of more than one bone or joint

Table 1 showing the new Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot osteomyelitis.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHOD:**

A retrospective analysis was carried in the Department of Surgery of St Johns medical college, Bangalore, India, which is a tertiary care institute of repute. All the osteomyelitis cases treated by the authors were studied. The study period was from March 2011 to February 2014. The following where the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

#### **INCLUSION CRITERIA**

1] Diabetes mellitus.

2] All the patients seen by the authors both as in patients as well as outpatient were included in the study.

3] Patients operated elsewhere and who came to us for further management were also included in this study

#### **EXCLUSION CRITERIA**

1] Non diabetics.

2] Patient treated in other units or department were excluded.

3] Patients with incomplete data were excluded from the study.

#### **RESULTS**

A total of 21 patients with diabetic foot osteomyelitis were included in this study. There were 14 males [66.67%] and 7 females [33.33%]. The average age for males was 49.2 years with age ranging from 39 years - 66 years and average age for females was 51.5 years with age ranging from 41 years - 64 years. 20 patients [95.23%] had unilateral osteomyelitis and one patient [4.76%] had bilateral osteomyelitis.

19 patients [90.48%] with osteomyelitis had underlying ulcers [Figure 1] and these were type 3 diabetic foot complication whereas 2 patients [9.52%] did not have ulcers but abscess and they belonged to type 1 diabetic foot complication.



Figure 1 showing a non healing ulcer with abscess in diabetic foot.

Most common type of diabetic foot osteomyelitis was type 1 osteomyelitis with 12 patients [57.14%] followed by type 3 osteomyelitis[Table 2] with 5 patients [23.81%].

 Table 2 Distribution of Gender according to the Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot Osteomyelitis.

SL NO	TYPE	MALES	FEMALES	TOTAL [PERCENTAGE]
1]	Type 1 Osteomyelitis	9	3	12 [57.14%]
2]	Type 2 Osteomyelitis	2	2	4 [19.05%]
3]	Type 3 Osteomyelitis	3	2	5 [23.81%]
		14	7	21

Subtype C was the most common subtype [Table 3] seen with 7 patients [33.33%] followed by subtype B and D with 5 patients [23.81%] each. In type 1 osteomyelitis, subtype B [Figure 2] and C were common whereas in type 2 osteomyelitis, subtype D was more common. In type 3 osteomyelitis, subtype C was the most common variety [Figure 3].



Figure 2 showing the radiograph of patient in figure 1. Note this is type 1-B diabetic foot osteomyelitis according to Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot osteomyelitis affecting the 5<sup>th</sup> metatarsal.



Figure 3 showing radiograph of a patient with osteomyelitis of calcaneum. This is type 3-C diabetic foot osteomyelitis according to Amit Jain's classification.

2 cases [9.52%] of osteomyelitis had associated charcot foot and they were type 2 osteomyelitis. There was no mortality in this study and none of the patients had underlying peripheral vascular disease.

2014

	SUBTYPES					
TYPE OF	А	В	С	D		
OSTEOMYELITIS						
TYPE 1	3	4	4	1		
TYPE 2	0	0	1	3		
TYPE 3	1	1	2	1		
TOTAL	4 [19.05%]	5 [23.81%]	7 [33.33%]	5 [23.81%]		

Table 3 showing distribution of cases into different types and subtypes of osteomyelitis according to Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot osteomyelitis.

Around 7 patients [33.33%] with diabetic foot osteomyelitis underwent major amputation [Table 4] with type 3 osteomyelitis being the commonest cause of major amputation.

Sr No.	SURGICAL PROCEDURE	TYPE 1	TYPE 2	TYPE 3	TOTAL
1]	CONSERVATIVE SURGERY	5	0	1	6 [28.57%]
2]	MINOR AMPUTATION	7	1	0	8 [38.09%]
3]	MAJOR AMPUTATION	0	3	4	7 [33.33%]

Table 4 showing showing distribution of different surgeries according to Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot osteomyelitis.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Diabetic foot osteomyelitis continues to be one of the most common challenging entities to diagnose and manage accurately<sup>10</sup>. Osteomyelitis and diabetic foot infection are common entities with serious complications that can result in lower extremity amputation<sup>11</sup>.

Osteomyelitis should always be considered when the ulcer fails to heal<sup>1</sup>. Infact, osteomyelitis in diabetic foot occurs via contiguous spread from an adjacent infected wound in 94% of the cases<sup>2</sup>. In our study, all cases of osteomyelitis were due to local pathology, with 90.48% cases having underlying ulcer. Such cases belong to type 3 diabetic foot complications<sup>12</sup>.

Various classifications have been suggested for osteomyelitis in general of which Waldogel and Cierny- Mader are the two most commonly employed classification<sup>13,</sup> <sup>14</sup>. Amit Jain's classification for diabetic foot osteomyelitis<sup>15, 16</sup> is a new classification specific for diabetic foot osteomyelitis. Around 90% of diabetic foot ulcers are known to occur in forefoot, 1.5% in the midfoot and 4.5% in the calcaneus<sup>1</sup>. Hence, forefoot is the most likely involved anatomical region for osteomyelitis. Around 7-8% of the cases have calcaneal osteomyelitis<sup>17, 18</sup>.

In our study, 57.14% had forefoot osteomyelitis [type 1 diabetic foot

osteomyelitis] and 23.81% had type 3 osteomyelitis which involved calcaneum. The possible reason for such a high incidence of hindfoot osteomyelitis compared to that in the literature is due to the fact that most physicians avoid treating calcaneal osteomyelitis and refer to higher centre or specialist surgeon for further management as the results with hindfoot osteomyelitis are not quite favourable.

Diagnosis of osteomyelitis can sometimes be difficult in diabetic foot, especially in early cases<sup>11, 19</sup>, where x rays may not show any changes. In such cases magnetic resonance imaging bone {MRI} or scintigraphy may be required. MRI has been shown to have the highest sensitivity and for diagnosing specificity [>90%] osteomyelitis<sup>2</sup>. The only problem occurs when one has to distinguish osteomyelitis from charcot foot<sup>5</sup>.

In our study, 9.52% of cases had charcot foot along with osteomyelitis. In one study<sup>6</sup>, 64.3% of patients with osteomyelitis had underlying peripheral vascular disease whereas in our study, none of the patient had peripheral vascular disease. It is quite obvious due to the fact that majority of diabetic foot patients in India suffer from neuropathy and infection<sup>16,20</sup>.

Management of diabetic foot osteomyelitis varies from centre to centre and region to region<sup>5</sup>. Some specialist believes in management osteomyelitis of with antibiotics alone whereas some believe in early surgical treatment<sup>5</sup>. Conservative surgerv<sup>1, 6</sup> is defined as procedure in which no amputation of any part of the foot is undertaken and includes debridement of non viable/infected tissues and bones. Major amputation includes below knee and above knee amputation. In literature, major amputation from osteomyelitis ranges from  $8 - 25\%^{4, 5, 6, 11}$ . In our study, 33.33% had major amputation whereas only 28.57% had conservative surgical approach. There was no mortality in our series.

This study on osteomyelitis using Amit Jain's classification for diabetic foot osteomyelitis provides a better insight on osteomvelitis and henceforth the classification would help to form a better communication tool. This new classification is one of the component of Amit Jain's Principle and Practice of diabetic foot consisting of newer concepts in diabetic foot like typings, grading and scoring the diabetic foot complications to improvise and standardize the practice of diabetic foot around the world<sup>12, 16, 21, 22, 23</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

Diabetic foot osteomyelitis is one of the most frequent complications of ulcers. In this study, 90.48% of cases of the osteomyelitis belonged to type 3 diabetic foot complications. Type 1 diabetic foot osteomyelitis was the most common type of osteomyelitis with 57.14% of the cases in this study. Subtype C was the most common subtype. Around 33.33% cases of osteomyelitis underwent major amputation with Type 3 osteomyelitis being the commonest cause for major amputation.

This Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot osteomyelitis is the first new classification specific for osteomyelitis in diabetic foot that helps in specifying the type of osteomyelitis the patient is affected commonly and also the type of osteomyelitis responsible for major amputation.

### **REFERENCES**

- Crim BE, Wukich DK. Osteomyelitis of the foot and ankle in the diabetic population: Diagnosis and treatment. J Diab Foot Comp 2009;1(2):26-35.
- Nube V, Bolton T, Chua E, Yue D. Osteomyelitis in the diabetic foot: What lies beneath. Primary Intention 2007;15(2):49-57.
- Solagberu BA. A new classification of osteomyelitis for developing countries. EAMJ 2003;80(7):373-378.
- Game F. Management of osteomyelitis of foot in diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2010;6:43-47.
- Game FL. Osteomyelitis in the diabetic foot: Diagnosis and management. Med Clin N Am 2013;97:947-956.
- Aragon-Sanchez FJ, Cabrera-Galvan JJ, Quintana-Marrero Y et al. Outcomes of surgical treatment of diabetic foot osteomyelitis: A series of 185 patients with histopathological confirmation of bone involvement. Diabetologia 2008;51(11):1962-70.
- Shah SF, Hameed S et al. Evaluation and management of diabetic foot: A multicentric study conducted at Rawalpindi, Islamabad. Ann Pak Inst Med Sci 2011;7(4):233-237.

 Muqim RU, Griffin S, Ahmed M. Evaluation and management of diabetic foot according to Wagner's classification. A study of 100 cases. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2003;15(3):39-42.

2014

- Solanki K, Parmar H, Gohil V, Shah S. The surgical management of diabetic foot. NJIRM 2010;1(4):1-3.
- Hoffman WB, Khan KH, Kosinski M. Current concepts in treating diabetic foot osteomyelitis. Podiatry today 2009;22(10):1-7.
- 11. Widatalla AH, Mahadi SEI, Shawar MA et al. Diabetic foot infections with osteomyelitis: efficacy of combined surgical and medical treatment. Diabetic foot and ankle 2012;3:1-6.
- 12. Jain AKC. A New classification of diabetic foot complications: A simple and effective teaching tool. J Diab Foot Comp 2012;4(1):1-5.
- Carek PJ, Dickerson LM, Sack JL. Diagnosis and management of osteomyelitis. Am Fam Physician 2001;63(12):2413-2421.
- Mader JT, Shirtliff M, Calhoun JH. Staging and staging application in osteomyelitis. Clin Inf Dis 1997;25:1303-9.
- Jain AKC. A new classification of diabetic foot osteomyelitis. OA Case Reports 2013;2(3):121.
- 16. Kalaivani V, Vijayakumar HM. Diabetic foot in India- Reviewing the epidemiology and the Amit Jain's classifications. Sch Acad J Biosci 2013;1(6):305-308

- 17. Wang EHM, Simpson S, Bennet GC. Osteomyelitis of the calcaneum. J Bone Joint Surg 1992;74-B:906-9.
- Bhattacharyya A, Das R. Gaenslen's Split heel approach for treatment of chronic osteomyelitis of the calcaneus. A series of three cases. FAOJ 2010;3(11):3.
- 19. Lipsky BA. Osteomyelitis of the foot in diabetic patients. Clin Inf Dis 1997;25:1318-26.
- 20. Jain AKC, Viswanath S. Distribution and analysis of diabetic foot. OA Case Reports 2013;2(12):117.
- 21. Jain AKC. The new scoring system for predicting the risk of major amputations in patients with diabetic

foot complications. Med-Science 2014;3(1):1068-78.

2014

- 22. Jain AKC. A new classification [grading system] of debridement in diabetic lower limb. An Improvisation and standardization in practice of diabetic limb salvage around the world. Med-Science 2014;3(1):991-1001.
- Jain AKC. A new staging system for cellulitis in diabetic lower limbs-Improvising diabetic foot practice around the world. J Diab Foot Comp 2014;6(2):48-53.

#### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

Dr Amit Kumar- Data collection, Conceptualization, design and preparation of manuscript. Dr Viswanath – critical revision and data collection.