Early Electro diagnostic Findings of Guillain Barre Syndrome: Case Series

Sharma Geetanjali¹, Sood Sushma², Sharma Sudhir³

Abstract

Introduction: Guillain Barre Syndrome (GBS) is an acute immune mediated de-mylelinating poly-radiculo-neuropathy. As early diagnosis favors a good outcome after treatment, this study was carried out to analyze the electro-physiological abnormalities in the first week of GBS in this region. Case presentation: The study was carried out for early confirmation of GBS in 65 clinically diagnosed patients reporting within a week of muscle weakness by electrophysiological tests in the years 2010-12. Conclusion: Slowing of motor conduction velocities, decreased amplitude and increased distal motor latencies (DML) was seen in peroneal, tibial, median and ulnar nerves in descending order of severity. Conduction block was seen in the lower limb in 10 (15.38%) patients. F-wave was completely absent in upper and lower limbs in 50 (76.92%) patients while 15 patients (23.07%) showed decreased F-wave conduction velocity in both limbs. Sensory conduction velocity of median nerve was less compared to sural nerve. Electromyography (EMG) studies showed that de-myelinating type of neuropathy was predominant (83.33%). Electro-physiological studies play an important role in the early detection; characterization & treatment of GBS because timely intervention reduces morbidity and disability. Increased DML, absent F- wave, decreased median with normal Sural SCV (sensory conduction velocity) is diagnostic of early GBS.

Key words: Guillain Barre Syndrome, Peripheral neuropathy, de-mylinating poly-radiculoneuropathy, Electromyography

¹ Associate Professor, ² Senior Professor, Department of Physiology,

³ Associate Professor, Department of Medicine,

Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS, University of Health Sciences, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Corresponding author mail: drgeeta1212@yahoo.com

Introduction

GBS is an auto-immune mediated demyelinating polyradiculo-neuropathy. Males & females are equally at risk. Clinical features include progressive, symmetrical ascending muscle weakness of more than two limbs, areflexia with or without sensory, autonomic and brainstem abnormalities. Weakness is prominent in leg muscles as compared to arms; there is absence of fever at the onset of neural symptoms. Cranial nerve involvement may affect airway and facial muscles, and eye movements swallowing¹. It usually presents with numbress and tingling in the feet 2 .

In 1949, Haymaker & Kernohan reported the histo-pathological features of 50 fatal cases of GBS. The earliest features were edema of proximal nerves followed by degeneration of myelin sheath within the 1st week of illness³

Electro-diagnosis plays an important role in early detection and characterization of inflammatory de-myelinating polyradiculopathies⁴.

Nerve conduction abnormalities become more prominent during the initial weeks of the disease even if patients clinical status is improving ^{5,6}.Early nerve conduction findings include abnormal or absent F waves with low CNAP's, an abnormal upper extremity sensory nerve action potential combined with normal sural response and multiple indirect discharges ^{4, 7, 8}.

Case report

Ethics committee approval: There was no issue of ethical committee approval during this study as the patients were referred from department of Medicine of our Institute for electro-diagnostic evaluation.

The present study was carried out to facilitate early confirmation of clinically diagnosed cases of GBS in the 1st week of illness that were sent to the Department of Physiology for nerve conduction. The study was conducted on these 65 subjects (42 males & 23 females) between the age group of 6-70 years using RMS EMG EP Mark-II Chandigarh (Table 1). The criteria for clinical diagnosis of GBS were rapidly progressive limb weakness with or without distal limb paresthesias and reduced deep tendon reflexes. Table 1: Clinical features in 65 patients of GBS:

Variables	Number of Patients
Males/Females	42/23
Age Range	6-70
Age<60 years	59
Weakness	65/65
Areflexia	58/65

The parameters considered were divided into four major groups –

1. Motor conduction studies included: Median, ulnar, tibial and peroneal conduction velocities, amplitude and their distal motor latencies.

 Sensory conduction studies included: Medial and sural nerve conduction velocities.

3. F wave studies: F wave studies included F wave conduction velocity & F wave latency. (F wave is a late response resulting from anti-dromic activation of motor neurons involving conduction to and from spinal cord. F wave studies have been established as a valuable tool in clinical neurophysiology⁹. Prominent slowing of F waves has been reported in GBS where the demyelination may affect the proximal segment of nerve and even the roots which cannot be assessed by routine nerve conduction studies¹⁰.

4. EMG studies (Electro-myographic studies): conducted in Deltoid & Abductor digiti minimii (Upper Limb) and Tibialis anterior & Peroneus longus muscles (lower limb).

Electro-diagnostic criteria:

(According to Dutch Guillain Barre study group criteria) only one of the following abnormalities in at least two nerves should be considered: ¹¹

1. Increased distal motor latency> 150% of upper limit of normal

2. Decreased conduction velocity < 70% of lower limit of normal

3. Increased F wave latency > 150 of upper limit of normal

4. Decreased compound muscle action potential amplitude > upper limit of normal.

The placement of electrodes was done on the basis of the technique described by Mishra & Kalita¹².

Results :

Distal motor latency:

• Increased distal motor latency (DML) was seen in 42 (64%) patients for both ulnar & median nerves and exclusively for ulnar nerve in 8 (12%) patients. 15 prole (23.07%) patients had normal DML. On the in be case of the lower limbs, increased distal Sens

case of the lower limbs, increased distal motor latency was seen in 50 (76.92%) patients for both tibial & peroneal nerves and exclusively for tibial nerve in 5 (7.69%) patients.

Conduction velocity & amplitude:

Decreased conduction velocity & amplitude was seen in 44 (67.6%) for both median & ulnar nerves and exclusively for ulnar nerve in 8 (12.3%) patients while 13 (20.1%) patients had normal conduction velocities In lower limbs, decreased conduction velocity & amplitude was seen in 50 (76.92%) for tibial & both peroneal nerves and exclusively for tibial nerve in 5 (7.69%) patients. Conduction block was seen in the lower limb in 10 (15.38%) patients. So, slowing of motor conduction velocities, decreased amplitude as well as increase in distal motor latencies were observed, being more pronounced in the lower limbs. F-wave: (Table 2)

F-wave was completely absent in both upper and lower limbs in 50 (76.92%) patients while 15 patients (23.07%) showed decreased conduction velocity with prolonged latency and decreased amplitude in both upper and lower limbs.

Sensory Nerve action potential: (Table 2) Decreased sensory conduction velocity (SCV) was seen in 40 (61.29%), absent SCV in 20 (30.76%) and normal SCV in 5 (7.69%) patients in the upper limb. On the other hand, normal Sural nerve SCV [SSCV] was seen in 55 (84.61%), decreased SSCV in 5 (7.69%) and absent SSCV in 5 (7.69%) patients in the lower limb.

So, sensory conduction velocity of median nerve was slightly less as compared to Sural nerve in lower limbs.

EMG: (Table 2)

EMG: EMG studies were carried out in 30 of the 65 patients of the GBS group, of which 25 (83.33%) showed demyelinating type of polyneuropathy with reduced voluntary motor unit recruitment while the remaining 5 (16.66%) patients showed axonal type of polyneuropathy showing denervation pattern

Discussion

Electro-diagnostic studies are helpful in diagnosis and differentiating demyelinating variety of GBS which responds to treatment and has a better prognosis ¹³. Electrophysiological hallmarks of early demyelination include prolonged distal motor latencies, prolonged/absent F wave latencies mainly in the lower limbs, slow conduction velocities/conduction motor block with absent F wave, and abnormal upper extremity sensory nerve action potential as compared to the sural nerve.

➢ F wave is the most sensitive diagnostic test for early GBS. In our study, motor conduction velocity was decreased and proximal conduction block was noticed mainly in the lower limbs. The above results are in tandem with findings of Gordon, Kimura & Kuwahara ^{4, 10, 14}. In a study done by Ropper et al on 41 patients of GBS who underwent electro-diagnostic studies within a week of onset of symptoms, 16 patients had abnormalities of compound muscle action potentials including dispersion, delayed latency, low amplitude, conduction velocity slowing, conduction block or abnormal F-waves ¹⁵ Similar results have been quoted by Clouston et al ¹⁶

> Prolonged distal motor latencies & prolonged or absent F waves reflect early predilection for involvement of proximal spinal roots and distal motor terminals. Upper limb SNAP's particularly of the median nerve can be affected more severely and earlier than those of the sural nerve. The explanation of this finding is multifactorial. Entrapment sites are more prone i.e. median nerve in Carpal Tunnel. Reduced SNAP amplitudes can be the result of secondary axonal degeneration and conduction block ¹⁷. The conduction block was maximal in the terminal segment in the upper and lower limbs, more so in the lower limb. These findings were consistent with those of Brown ¹⁸. He attributed this maximal block

to the relative deficiency of the blood nerve barrier. Decrease in conduction velocity is damage to the myelin sheath; both cellular and immune mechanisms play important roles in it. Early inflammatory lesions consist of lymphocytic infiltrate; later on macrophages become prominent. The of peripheral nerve changes consist oedema, perivascular accumulation of mono-nuclear cells and para-nodal & less commonly segmental demyelination¹⁹.

> The EMG studies were conducted on 30 of the 65 patients because of proximity of electro-diagnosis to the symptom onset. EMG studies showed that demyelinating type of neuropathy was the predominant form of GBS (83.33%) in our series. This was in consistence with the results of Yakoob et al 20 .

Conclusion:

The global incidence of Guillain Barre Syndrome has been estimated between 1.8/100,000/year.²¹ 1.1/100,000/year to Thus; this syndrome constitutes a major load of demyelinating polyneuropathy cases There seems to be a slight worldwide. preponderance of AIDP (acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy) variety in India as suggested by Gupta et al and Meena et al^{22, 23}. AIDP was the preponderant variant in our study i.e. in the state of Haryana. The results were in line with the electro-diagnostic criteria for early diagnosis of GBS as described in literature. Electrodiagnostic techniques play an important role in the early detection and characterization of inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculopathy in the first week of symptomology and assume importance in treatment of this syndrome because timely intervention reduces morbidity and disability

References:

1. Hauser SL. Asbury AK (2009).Guillain-Barre Syndrome & other immune-mediated neuropathies. In: Fauci AS, Braunwald E, et al, eds: Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 16th Ed. New York: McGraw Hill; 2667-71.

- 2. Amato AA (2005). Guillain Barre syndrome & related disorders. Rev Mex Neuroci. 6(5): 455- 69.
- 3. Haymaker W, Kernohan JW (1949). The Landry-Guillain Barre Syndrome: A clinicopathologic report of fifty fatal cases and a critique of the literature. Medicine (Baltimore); 28:59-141.
- 4. Gordon PH, Wilbourn AJ (2001). Early electrodiagnostic findings in Guillain Barre Syndrome. Arch Neurol. 58(6):913-917.
- Albers JW (1989). AAEM Case report #4- Guillain Barre Syndrome. 12(9); 705–711.
- 6. McLeod JG (1995). Investigations in peripheral neuropathy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 58:274-283.
- 7. Roth G, Magistrus MR (1999). Indirect discharges as an early nerve conduction abnormality in the Guillain Barre Syndrome. Ear Neurol. 42: 83
- Aminoff MJ, Greenberg DA, Simon RP. (2005). In Clinical Neurology. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Medical, New York.
- 9. Fisher MA (2002). H reflexes and F wave fundamentals normal and abnormal patterns. Neurol Clin N Am. 20: 339.
- 10. Kimura J, Butzer F (1975). F wave conduction velocity in Guillain Barre Syndrome. Assessment of nerve segment between axilla and spinal cord. Arch Neurol. 32: 524. PMID: 1156212.
- 11. Meustec J, Van Der Meche FGA (1995).The Dutch Guillain Barre Study group.Electrodiagnosticcriteriafor

polyneuropathy and demyelination: application in 135 patients with Guillain Barre Syndrome. J Neurol Neuro Surg Psychiat. 59: 482-86. PMC1073708

12. Mishra VA, Kalita J (2006). Clinical Neurophysiology. 2nd Ed. Elsevier Health Sciences, Gurgaon: 235.

13. Nadir ZK, Narullah M (1998). Electrodiagnostic study of 40 cases presenting as Guillain Barre Syndrome. Pak J Neurol. 4(1): 50-4.

14. S Kuwabara, K Ogawara, K Mizobuchi, M Koga, M Mori, T Hattori, and N Yuki (2000). Isolated absence of F waves and proximal axonal dysfunction in Guillain-Barré syndrome with antiganglioside antibodies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 68(2): 191–195.

15. Ropper AH, Wijdicks EFM, Shahani T(1990). Electrodiagnostic abnormalities in113 consecutive patients with GuillainBarre Syndrome. Arch Neurol. 47: 881-87.

16. Clouston PD, Kiers L, Zuniga G, Cros D (1994). Quantitative analysis of compound muscle action potential in early acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol. 93: 245-54.

17. Amato AA, Dumitru D (2002). Acquired neuropathies. In: Electrodiagnostic Medicine. 2nd edition. Philadelphia: Hanley & Belfus, Inc.; 937–1041.

 Brown WF, Snow R (1991). Patterns and severity of conduction abnormalities in Guillain Barre syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 54(9): 768-74.

- Ramachandran TS, Lorenzo NY (2009).
 Acute Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy. Updated: Jan 15, 2009. Assessed March 26, 2011. Available at:emedicine.medscape.com/article/116995
 9-overview.
- 20. Yakoob M, Rahman AA, Jamil BB, Syed NA (2005). Characteristics of patients with Guillain Barre Syndrome at a tertiary care center in Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc. 55(11):493-6. PMID 16304870.
- 21. McGrogan A, Madle GC, Seaman HE, de Vries CS (2009). The epidemiology of Guillain-Barré syndrome worldwide. A

systematic literature review. Neuroepidemiology. 32(2):150-63.

- 22. Gupta D, Nair M, Baheti NN, Sarma PS, Kuruvilla A (2008). Electrodiagnostic and clinical aspects of Guillain-Barré syndrome: An analysis of 142 cases. J Clin Neuromuscul Dis., 10:42–51.
- 23. Meena AK, Khadilkar SV, Murthy JMK (2011). Treatment guidelines for Guillain–Barré Syndrome. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 14(Suppl1): \$73–\$81. doi: 10.4103/0972-2327.83087. PMCID: PMC3152164.

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interests

Acknowledgement

The authors sincerely thank Mr. Randhir Singh, Senior Laboratory Technician, Department of Physiology, University of Health Sciences, for his untiring co-operation during nerve conduction studies of the patients.

Variable			No (%) of patients	
1. F	waves			
1. 1	waves			
Abnorn	nal, total			
a.	Upper limb			
		Absent	50 (76.92%)	
		Prolonged latency	15 (23.07%)	
		Normal	0	
b.	Lower limb			
		Absent	50 (76.92%)	
		Prolonged latency	15 (23.07%)	
		Normal	0	
2. S	CV			
a.	. Upper limb			
		Normal	55 (84.61%)	
		Decrease	05 (7.69%)	
	Absent		05 (7.69%)	
b	. Lower Limb (Su	ıral)		
		Normal	40 (61.29%)	
		Decrease	20 (30.76%)	
		Absent	5 (7.69%)	
3. C	MAP (compound a	muscle action potential)		
A.		ocity (CV) & amplitude		
a.	Upper limb			
		Decrease in both Ulnar & median nerves	44 (67.6%)	
		Decrease in only ulnar nerve	8 (12.3%)	
		Normal CV	13 (20.1%)	
b.	Lower limb			
		Decrease in both tibial & peroneal nerves	50 (76.92%)	
		Decrease in only tibial nerve	5 (7.69%)	
		Conduction block	10 (15.38%)	
В.				
	a. Upper limb			
		Increase in both Ulnar & median nerves	42 (64%)	
		Increase in only ulnar nerve	8 (12%)	
	b. Lower limb			
		Increase in both tibial & peroneal nerves	50 (76.92%)	
		Increase in only tibial nerve	5 (7.69%)	
4 5	MG	Conduction block	10 (15.38%)	
4. E	MG			
	Demyelinating t	type	60 (92.30%)	
	,	2 T		
	Axonal type		5 (7.69%)	

Table 2: Electro-diagnostic findings in patients with Guillain Barre Syndrome within 1 week