## A Study of the Effect of Cigarette Smoking On Cognitive Parameters In Human Volunteers

#### Dr. Chandresh Dumatar\*, Dr Jignesh Chauhan\*\*

\* Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad, \*\* Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad.

**Abstracts**: Introduction: Nicotine, one of the major alkaloids of cigarette smoke, is known to stimulate the CNS. It was thought worthwhile to study effect after single cigarette smoking on some cognitive functions. These effects may be important in relation to increasing incidence of conditions where cognitive functions are deranged e.g. Alzheimer's disease. Materials and Methods: The design of the study was open. Cognitive tests, blood pressure and heart rate recording were done in a group of subjects (n=34) who smoked a cigarette. The tests were performed twice in individual subjects; one before and other after smoking a cigarette (in control group (n=30) the two tests were performed after a resting period of 5 minutes). To study cognitive functions, following parameters were included: Forward Digit Span Test, Word List Memory Test, Benton Visual Retention Test, Mental Arithmetic Test, Motor Co-ordination Test, Digit Letter Substitution Test and Paragraph Cancellation Test (details of the tests will be elaborated during presentation). Results: In the subjects who smoked a cigarette heart rate, systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressure exhibited significant rise. In these subjects, scores of various cognitive tests showed statistically significant improvement as compared to the control group (detail data will be furnished during presentation). Conclusion: In the present study, smoking a cigarette has shown definite improvement in cognitive functions like short-term memory, alertness, visual retention, calculation skill and motor co-ordination. No attempt is made in the present study about the effect of repeated smoking. The tolerance to these effects cannot be ruled out presently. The present results need further exploration from point of view of therapeutic utility. [Dumatar C et al. NJIRM 2011; 2(3) : 71-76]

Key Words: cigarette smoking, cognitive parameters

Author for correspondence: Dr. Chandresh Dumatar, Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, B.J.Medical College, Ahmedabad 380016Email:drdumatar@yahoo.com

Introduction: Tobacco, Nicotiana tobacum, is a native plant of the American continent. Cigarette smoking is dangerous from point of dependence. Nicotine is one of the major alkaloids of cigarette smoke. Recognizing the health hazards of tobacco, most countries of the world have promulgated various laws enforcing the cigarette manufacturing companies to display health warnings on packages and advertisement of cigarettes. Despite the understandings about hazardous effects, tobacco smoking is prevalent in large populations. Naturally, this leads to the question: Why people smoke and become habituated for smoking? There must be some inherent properties of nicotine (content of cigarettes) responsible for it. Nicotine markedly stimulates the CNS. The smoker feels alert: there is some muscle relaxation too. There are indications that nicotine improved attention and performances of memory tasks in both animals and humans. Data on human studies is based in the form of response to questionnaire by the subjects who were in habit of smoking in most of the studies. It was thought worthy to study the effect of tobacco on cognitive function in more objective way. So, study was conducted in subjects who were occasional smokers to study the effects after single cigarette smoking. It was considered worthwhile to study the effect on occasional smokers. It would be of interest to demonstrate acute effects of smoking. From this point, the present study was proposed.

Medical literature is emphasizing on the increasing incidence of conditions where cognitive functions are deranged. Alzheimer's disease draws attention of many. If at all, smoking shows some acute promising effects, nicotine can be a good candidate to study from point of view of utility in such disorders. Nicotine substitutes and modified delivery system may promise to avoid the hazardous effects of smoking and other modalities of nicotine use. With such future possibilities the present study was proposed simply to understand the acute effects of cigarette smoking. Material and Methods: A total of 64 medical students & doctors of male sex voluntarily participated in the study. Age of the subjects ranged from 20 to 40 yrs and the weight from 50 to 70 kg. All subjects were in good health as determined by medical history and physical examination. Subjects were excluded if they had any history of vision abnormalities or skeletal muscular disorders or injuries or anxiety disorders or peripheral vascular diseases or serious psychiatric condition or chronic respiratory or acute respiratory episode in recent past & subjects having blood pressure (BP) greater than 150/100 Experiments were conducted between 5.30 p.m. to 9.30 p.m. and subjects were not allowed to smoke at least 1 hr prior to the experiment. None of the subjects had taken any drug for at least last 7 days. Not more than five subjects participated per day. Subjects were exposed to battery of various tests in sequence. They were given details about each test, clarifying all doubts. Subjects were given trial before the test wherever required. Keeping in view the time required to conduct the test, only nine tests were conducted in an individual subject, which may throw light on various aspects of mental, psychomotor and cognitive performance.

During pilot-study assessment about time required for each test was done. As the design of the study included administration of tests before and after smoking a cigarette, and as nicotine from cigarette has immediate effect, the tests were repeated immediately after smoking a cigarette. As the effect of nicotine from one cigarette lasts 10 - 15minutes, not more than nine tests were considered appropriate. The sequence of various tests was arranged in such a way that there is minimum possibility of interference of one test on another. Following sequence of the battery of tests was followed<sup>1-6</sup>:

- 1. Forward Digit Span Test
- 2. Word List Memory Test
- 3. Mental Arithmetic Test
- 4. Motor Co ordination Test
- 5. Digit Letter Substitution Test
- 6. Paragraph Cancellation Test
- 7. Benton Visual Retention Test

In addition to the above tests heart rate and blood pressure were recorded.

mm of mercury or any cardiac condition causing documented hemodynamic compromise were excluded from the study.

Experimental design: Subjects who had no exposure to smoking cigarettes were included in the Control group. Subjects who had earlier exposure to smoking cigarettes were included in the Cigarette group. In the control group, battery of tests was done in the subjects who were nonsmokers, then giving a rest interval of 5 minutes and repeating the battery of tests.



In the cigarette group, battery of tests was done in the subjects who were smokers, then subject was asked to smoke a cigarette, which he normally used to smoke, and repeat the battery of tests. All brands of cigarette available in the market were made available.

Every test was administered twice in both the groups. The test material for repeat exposure was prepared in such a way that there would not be interference of initial test.

Thus, the design of the study was open and comparison of cigarette group with control group.

Method used in the study: Forward Digit Span Test was done as described by Matarazzo<sup>1</sup>, Word List Memory Test as describe by Rey<sup>2</sup>, Mental Arithmetic Test as describe by Ida sue Baron et al <sup>3</sup>, Motor Co ordination Test as describe by Avram et al<sup>4</sup>, Digit Letter Substitution Test as describe by Natu and Agarwal<sup>5</sup>, Paragraph Cancellation Test as describe by Natu and Agarwal<sup>5</sup>, Benton Visual Retention Test as describe by Benton<sup>6</sup> was used.

Statistical analysis: Scores of various parameters during initial tests in control group and cigarette group were analysed by unpaired't' test to see homogeneity of the population. Scores of various parameters in each group during initial tests and subsequent tests were analysed by paired't' test. Any difference in this comparison in control group would be indicative of effect of repeat test. The difference in cigarette group would be indicative of effect of cigarette smoking, provided there is lack of difference in repeat test in control group.

**Result:** Subjects who had no exposure to cigarette smoking during the study represented the control group. Subjects who had exposure to single cigarette smoking during the study represented the experimental group.

A total of 30 non-smokers and 34 smokers completed the study. Smokers had a history of smoking of at least 6 months, with current use <10 cigarettes per day and a score <2 (maximum = 10) on the Fagerström test for nicotine dependence indicating that the subjects were not addicted to nicotine. Result are describe in following Table

Table	1:	Hear	Rate	and	Blood	Pressure
compa	rison	in both	group	before	and aft	ter test

Test	Cont	rol group	Cigarette group		
	(n = 30)		(n = 34)		
	Initial	Subsequent	Initial	Subseque	
	test	Test	test	nt Test	
Heart	$\textbf{79.97} \pm$	80.23±	$80.25\pm$	$81.38\pm$	
rate	2.58	2.71	2.55	1.92*	
B.P	122.33 ±	122.27 $\pm$	122.75±	124.25 $\pm$	
Systolic	2.17	2.02	2.82	2.49****	
Diastolic	80.67	$80.73\pm2.7$	$81.0 \pm$	82.75 ±	
	± 2.8		3.02	3.2****	
Mean ±S.D:		*p<0.05: *	*p<0.02;	***p<0.01;	

\*\*\*\*p<0.001

# Table 2: Cognitive test result comparison in bothgroup before and after test

	Test	Control group (n =		Cigarette group (n	
		30)		= 34)	
		Initial	Subsequent	Initial	Subsequent
		test	Test	test	Test
1	Forward	5.07	5.13 ±	4.74 ±	5.35 ±

NJIRM 2011; Vol. 2(3). July- September

	Digit Span	± 0.94	1.01	1.16	0.95****
	Test				
2	Word List	5.83	$5.80\pm$	5.56	$6.24 \pm$
	Memory	$\pm$ 1.42	1.45	$\pm 1.48$	1.67**
	Test				
3	Mental	30.50	$\textbf{31.17}\pm$	39.85	$34.62 \pm$
	Arithmetic	±	10.64	±	18.79****
	Test	10.61		20.43	
4	Motor Co-	322.8	$\textbf{328.47}\pm$	354.32	$423.26\pm$
	ordination	3 ±	91.73	±	106.74***
	test	85.90		82.15	
5	Digit Letter	8.37	$59.73\pm$	57.97	$61.44 \pm$
	Substitution	$\pm 6.49$	8.98	± 7.74	6.67****
	Test				
6	Paragraph	109.4	116.27 $\pm$	108.09	124.21±
	Cancellation	3 ±	25.57*	±	24.28****
	Test	18.69		26.91	
7	Benton	8.20	8.07±	8.09	8.65 ±
	Visual	± 1.37	1.34	$\pm$ 1.46	1.32***
	Retention				
	Test				
Ν	Mean ±S.D: *p<0.05: **p<0.02: ***p<0.01:				

Mean ±S.D; \*p<0.05: \*\*p<0.02; \*\*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*\*p<0.001

	Table 3. Effect of eightette smoking						
	Test	Effect of Cigarette					
		Smoking					
	Heart Rate	Increase					
Ι	Blood Pressure	Increase					
	Forward Digit Span Test	Improvement					
	Word List Memory Test	Improvement					
II	Mental Arithmetic Test	Improvement					
	Motor Co-ordination Test	Improvement					
	Digit Letter Substitution Test	Improvement					
	Paragraph Cancellation Test	Improvement					
	Benton Visual Retention Test	Improvement					

### Table 3: Effect of cigarette smoking

**Discussion:** Tobacco, Nicotiana tobacum, is a native plant of the American continent. Consumption of tobacco started in the early 16<sup>th</sup> century and it has progressively increased over the centuries despite the well established hazards of smoking<sup>6</sup>. Nicotine is the principle and most potent psycho-pharmacologically active component of cigarette smoke<sup>7</sup>.Smokers are more likely to suffer

from fatal ischaemic heart disease<sup>8</sup>, Buerger's disease<sup>9</sup>, cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease<sup>8</sup>. Cigarette smoking is the most dangerous dependence producing drug<sup>10</sup>. Recognizing the health hazards of tobacco, most countries of the world have promulgated various laws enforcing the cigarette manufacturing companies to display health warnings on packages and advertisements of cigarettes. Despite all these efforts, people still continue to smoke cigarettes. Probably, this may be due to peer pressure and social acceptability.

Nicotine markedly stimulates the CNS<sup>11</sup>. The smoker feels alert, yet there is some muscle relaxation. Nicotine readily crosses the blood brain barrier stimulating the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR). The stimulation of the presynaptic nAChRs on ACh neurons increases the acetylcholine release as well as its metabolism<sup>12</sup>. Many neurons in the brain express the neuronal nicotinic receptors at which nicotine acts and, as a result, nicotine stimulates other pathways. These pathways include the noradrenaline-secreting neurons of the locus ceruleus which project to the forebrain, many of the acetylcholine-secreting neurons found in the hippocampus and cortex which secrete the excitatory amino acid, glutamic acid, and the inhibitory amino acid, y-aminobutyric acid<sup>13</sup>. It seems likely that stimulation of the receptor located on glutamate-secreting terminals facilitates release of the glutamate, and that stimulation of NMDA receptors located on the dopamine-secreting neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) results in increased burst firing of the neurons, and thus an enhanced nicotine<sup>14</sup>. dopamine response to The administration of a nicotine bolus causes increased dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens<sup>15</sup>. It also seems likely that the effects of nicotine on acetylcholine-secreting neurons may be implicated in the increase in arousal and attention sometimes associated with smoking<sup>15</sup>. In addition, the stimulatory effects on both acetylcholine and glutamate secretion in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex may mediate the improved cognitive function which has been reported for nicotine<sup>13</sup>. In a study of 600 adult smokers, 53% agreed strongly and 38% agreed mildly with the statement 'smoking can help people relax', whilst only 2% disagreed strongly<sup>10</sup>.

In most of the studies, data was collected in form of questionnaire from the subjects who were in habit of smoking. In the present study it was aimed to study the effect of tobacco on cognitive function in more objective way. The study was conducted in subjects who were occasional smokers to establish the effects, if any, after single cigarette smoking. The tests used in the study were those, which were well established in most cognitive studies. As the aim was to study the objective effects, only 64 subjects could participate in the study. The protocol was to compare the performances on various tests after smoking a cigarette against the performance of a control group of subjects. The limitations of this open design are obvious. Placebo-control study would have been a better design. De-nicotinised cigarette would have served the purpose of proper placebo. Because of nonavailability of such material presently, placebocontrol study could not be planned. As the smokers would have known that the cigarette is denicotinised<sup>16</sup>, it would not have served the purpose in blind study. Knowing the hazards of smoking, non-smokers were neither included nor were induced to smoke during the study.

As the central effects of nicotine from cigarette are produced within 7 seconds, tests were conducted immediately after smoking a cigarette. Also, since the nicotine level in blood falls back to pre-smoking level within 15 minutes not more than 9 tests were considered appropriate.

In our study, smoking has been shown to increase heart rate and both systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Nicotine is known to produce these effects through stimulation of nicotinic receptors in medulla<sup>17</sup>. adrenal autonomic ganglia and Stimulation of autonomic ganglia leads to sympathetic stimulation and of adrenal medulla to secretion of adrenaline. These effects lead to increase in heart rate and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. In Motor Co-ordination Test, to draw a zigzag line in the restricted area without making any error measures the alertness and fine motor co-ordination movements. Mean number of units traversed as well as errors committed (touching adjacent lines) was taken into consideration during scoring<sup>18</sup>. In our study, after smoking a cigarette there was improvement in the

NJIRM 2011; Vol. 2(3). July- September

score of the test, but it remained unaltered in control group suggesting nicotine improves alertness and fine motor co-ordination tasks. Results of a double blind study of cigarette smoking on a 1-hour computer based simulation of driving suggest that cigarette smoking may improve driving performance and enhance cognitive and psychomotor function<sup>19</sup>. Caffeine in coffee has been shown to improve the score in this test in a double blind study<sup>18</sup>. Generalized alertness may be responsible for the seen effects.

In Forward digit span test the subject was asked to repeat the pronounced digit series in forward order. This test measures retention and short-term memory. After smoking a cigarette, the score was increased, but it remained unaltered in control group suggesting that nicotine has improved shortterm memory.

Word list memory test measures learning and short-term recall. After smoking a cigarette, the number of words recalled increased, but it remains unaltered in control group suggesting that nicotine has improved learning and short-term memory in this test too.

Benton Visual Retention Test measures visual perception, immediate retention and non-verbal recall, again, indicating short-term memory. After smoking a cigarette, the number of figures recalled increased, but it remained unaltered in control group suggesting that nicotine has improved shortterm memory based on visual perception.

Mental arithmetic test measures problem solving capacity, calculation skill and attention. After smoking a cigarette, the duration of time required to complete the task was decreased, but it remained unaltered in control group suggesting that nicotine has improved the performance. Problem solving capacity, calculation skill and attention appear to be improved by cigarette smoking.

Digit Letter Substitution test includes process of understanding the task, speed of going to key and decision of using an appropriate letter for the digit. Alertness and good coordinating capacity is needed for good performance of this test. After smoking a cigarette, there was increase in the number of digits substituted, but it remained unaltered in control group suggesting nicotine improved alertness and co-ordination.

Paragraph Cancellation Study also tests a particular function. Vowels like a, e, i, o, u and double letters appearing in the paragraph were targets for cancellation. Thus, while doing this test subject carries a concept of vowels and double letters and tries to search accordingly in the paragraph. Considering the speed of completing the task during scoring, one actually tests individual's capacity of perception, recognition, attention and ability of sorting out each letter befitting with the criteria for cancellation. Nicotine did not appear to improve these functions in our study in terms of total cancelled letters. In terms of percent accuracy of cancellation, nicotine appears to improve the This shows that smoking may performance. improve quality of performance of a work. This may be due to increase in general alertness.

In the literature, the tests exploring other cognitive function showed that there is consistent improvement in performance after smoking. It is important to note that nicotine improved attentional performance and reduced errors of omission on Conners' continuous performance test (CPT) with chronic transdermal nicotine in Alzheimer's disease subjects in a double-blind, placebo controlled, cross-over study<sup>20</sup>.

Caffeine in coffee has been shown to improve performance in a double blind study in mental arithmetic test<sup>21</sup> and digit letter substitution test<sup>18,21</sup>. However, improvement in total number of cancelled letters and percent accuracy of cancellation was observed in both caffeine and placebo group<sup>18,21</sup>. Thus, there appear qualitatively different effects of caffeine as compared to nicotine. Generalized alertness by both the compounds cannot explain the observed effects.

**Conclusion:** In the present study, smoking a cigarette has shown definite improvement in cognitive functions like short-term memory, alertness, visual retention, calculation skill and motor co-ordination. No attempt is made in the present study about the effect of repeated

smoking. The tolerance to these effects cannot be ruled out presently. The present study needs further exploration from point of view of therapeutic utility.

### **References:**

- 1. Matarazzo JD. Digit span Test. Wechsler's measurement and appraisal of adult intelligence. 5Th ed. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1972.
- 2. Rey A. Word List Memory Test. Lexamen clinique en psychologic. Paris: Press Universities de France, 1964.
- Baron JA, Comi RJ, Cryns V, Brinck-Johnsen T, Mercer NG. The effect of cigarette smoking on adrenal cortical hormones. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1995; 272: 151-5.
- Avram Goldstein, Sophia Kaizer, Richard Warren. Psychotropic effects of caffeine in Man: Alertness, Psychomotor coordination and Mood. J of Pharmacol and Exp Ther 1965; 150(1): 146-151.
- Natu MV, Agarwal AK. Testing of stimulant effects of coffee on the psychomotor performance: An exercise in clinical pharmacology. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 1997; 29:11-14.
- Borio G. Tobacco timeline, 1993-1998. www.tobacco.org/History/Tobacco\_History.htm l.
- 7. Hoffmann D, Djordjevic MV, Hoffmann I. The changing cigarette. Prev Med 1997; 26: 427-34.
- Wald NJ, Hackshaw AK. Cigarette smoking: an epidemiological overview. Br Med Bull 1996; 52: 3-11.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Health benefits of smoking cessation. Report of the Surgeon-General. DHSS Publ No. CDC 90-84 16. Rockville, MD: USDHHS, 1990.
- 10. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edn. Washington: APA, 1995.

- 11. John Briton. Royal College of Physcians. Nicotine addiction in Briatin. London: Pitman Medical Publishing Co, 2000.
- Collins AC, Luo Y, Selvaag S, Marks MJ. Sensitivity to nicotine and brain nicotinic receptor are altered by chronic nicotine and mecamylamine infusion. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1994;271:125-33.
- McGehee DS, Heath MJS, Gelber S, Devay P, Role LW Nicotine enhancement of fast excitatory synaptic transmission in CNS by presynatic receptors. Science 1995; 269: 1692-6.
- Balfour DJK, Fagerström KO. Pharmacology of nicotine and its therapeutic use in smoking cessation and neurodegenerative disorders. Pharmacol Therap 1996; 72: 51-81.
- 15. Benwell ME, Balfrm DJ, Khadra LF. Studies on the influence of nicotine infusions on mesolimbic dopamine and locomotor responses to nicotine. Clin Invest 1994;72:233-9.
- 16. Feldman RS, Meyer JS, Quenzer LF. Principles of Neuropsychopharmacology. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Publishers. 1997.
- 17. Goodman and Gillman. The pharmacological basis of Therapeutics. 10th edition. New York, McGraw-Hill, 2001.
- Patel KD. A dissertation on "Pharmacological exploration of some effects of caffeine in human volunteers: A double blind study" submitted to the South Gujarat University, India. 2003.
- 19. Sherwood N. Effects of cigarette smoking on performance in a simulated driving task. Neuropsychopharmacology. 1995; 32 (3):161-5.
- 20. White HK, Levin ED. Four-week nicotine skin patch treatment effects on cognitive performance in Alzheimer's disease. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1999; 143 (2): 158-65.
- 21. Shah CP. A dissertation on "Pharmacological study of caffeine on psychomotor and cognitive functions in human volunteers" submitted to the South Gujarat University, India. 2003